UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

PATRICIA KAMMEYER, et al. : CASE NO.: C-1-01-649

Plaintiffs, : Judge Spiegel

(Magistrate Perelman)

Page 1 of 2

vs. :

CITY OF SHARONVILLE, OHIO, et al. :

Defendants. :

RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT NUSS TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS TO PLAINTIFFS' FORMER ATTORNEY, MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS (DOC. 145)

Plaintiffs state that their "motion remains ripe for decision." That is not accurate. Defendants have the right and intend to file papers opposing the motion. Those papers are not due until May 7, 2004. Until that happens, the motion is not ripe for decision.

Since the Motion For Sanctions against him and his counsel has been withdrawn, Defendant Nuss has only one comment with respect to Plaintiffs' clarification of the facts. While the "clarification" is accurate, it is also materially incomplete in that it deflects attention from the fact that it was inappropriate for Plaintiffs to file the motion in the first place.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Brian E. Hurley

Brian E. Hurley (0007827)
Robert J. Gehring (0019329)
Crabbe, Brown & James LLP
Attorneys For Defendant William Nuss
30 Garfield Place, Suite 740
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4359
(513) 784-1525 - telephone
(513) 784-1250 - facsimile
Bhurley@cbjlawyers.com
Rgehring@cblawyers.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 22, 2004 a copy of the forgoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to all registered parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties my access this filing through the Court's system.

s/Brian E. Hurley
Brian E. Hurley

 $\verb|\theserver| firmdocs| NUSS| response to Pl suppmemore motion to quash. wpd$